Supplementary Material From Skin to Skeleton: Towards Biomechanically Accurate 3D Digital Humans

MARILYN KELLER, Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems, Germany KEENON WERLING, Stanford University, USA SOYONG SHIN, Carnegie Mellon University, USA SCOTT DELP, Stanford University, USA SERGI PUJADES, Inria centre at the University Grenoble Alpes, France C. KAREN LIU, Stanford University, USA MICHAEL J. BLACK, Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems, Germany

ACM Reference Format:

Marilyn Keller, Keenon Werling, Soyong Shin, Scott Delp, Sergi Pujades, C. Karen Liu, and Michael J. Black. 2023. Supplementary Material From Skin to Skeleton: Towards Biomechanically Accurate 3D Digital Humans. *ACM Trans. Graph.* 42, 6 (December 2023), 1 page. https://doi.org/10.1145/3618381

In this document we provide supplementary information regarding the qualitative comparison of SKEL to OSSO [Keller et al. 2022].

1 QUALITATIVE COMPARISONS WITH OSSO

To complement Sec 6.4 of the main manuscript, we provide more qualitative comparisons of the skeletons computed by OSSO [Keller et al. 2022] and fitting SKEL to SMPL meshes of the Total Capture dataset [Trumble et al. 2017]. The results in Fig. 1 illustrate that SKEL provides better bone locations and orientations. This is particular visible in regions such as the ulna and knee where the bones of the articulation have a coherent orientation.

REFERENCES

- Marilyn Keller, Silvia Zuffi, Michael J. Black, and Sergi Pujades. OSSO: Obtaining skeletal shape from outside. In IEEE Conf. on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pages 20492–20501. IEEE, June 2022.
- Matt Trumble, Andrew Gilbert, Charles Malleson, Adrian Hilton, and John Collomosse. Total capture: 3d human pose estimation fusing video and inertial sensors. In Brit. Mach. Vis. Conf., 2017.

Authors' addresses: Marilyn Keller, Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems, Max Planck Ring 4, Tübingen, 72076, Germany, marilyn.keller@tuebingen.mpg.de; Keenon Werling, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA; Soyong Shin, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; Scott Delp, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA; Sergi Pujades, Inria centre at the University Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, France; C. Karen Liu, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA; Michael J. Black, Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems, Tübingen, Germany.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s). © 2023 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). 0730-0301/2023/12-ART https://doi.org/10.1145/3618381



Fig. 1. Given SMPL meshes from the Total Capture dataset [Trumble et al. 2017] (in blue) we obtain OSSO [Keller et al. 2022] (left two columns) and the aligned SKEL skeleton (right two columns). SKEL provides a more anatomically correct skeleton, particularly at the joint level bone orientation, such as the knee and elbow.